António Capela
AESE Business School

Bridging Polarized Divides. A Comparative Analysis of Guardini's Polar Philosophy and Aristotle's Golden Mean

Polarization has become a defining feature of our times, with seemingly irreconcilable divides between individuals, groups, and nations. This paper explores two approaches to navigating these polarized landscapes: Guardini's Polar Philosophy and Aristotle's Golden Mean. Guardini's Polar Philosophy, developed by the German philosopher Romano Guardini, emphasizes the underlying unity and tension between polar opposites. It suggests that these tensions, rather than being resolved through compromise or suppression, can be harnessed to create new and unexpected possibilities. Aristotle's Golden Mean, on the other hand, advocates for moderation and balance between extremes. It suggests that virtue lies in finding the middle ground between excessive and deficient states. While both approaches offer valuable insights into navigating polarized environments, they differ in their underlying assumptions and methods. Guardini's Polar Philosophy is more dynamic and open-ended, emphasizing the creative potential of tensions. Aristotle's Golden Mean is more systematic and structured, providing a framework for achieving moderation. By understanding the strengths and weaknesses of both approaches, we can develop a more comprehensive and effective framework for navigating polarized environments. We can learn to appreciate the creative potential of tensions while also seeking moderation and balance.